arXiv:1409.8471v1 [math.AP] 30 Sep 2014

A NONEXISTENCE RESULT FOR NONLINEAR PARABOLIC EQUATIONS WITH SINGULAR MEASURES AS DATA

FRANCESCO PETITTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we prove a nonexistence result for nonlinear parabolic problems with zero lower order term whose model is

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta_p u + |u|^{q-1}u = \lambda & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega \\ u(0,x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(t,x) = 0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\Delta_p = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ is the usual p-laplace operator, λ is measure concentrated on a set of zero parabolic r-capacity ($1 < p < r$), and q is large enough.

1. INTRODUCTION

The question whether a solution should exists or not for semilinear problems has been largely studied in the elliptic framework; in a pioneering paper by H. Brezis ([4]) the author proved the following

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N > 2$, with $0 \in \Omega$, let f be a function in $L^1(\Omega)$, and let f_n be a sequence of $L^\infty(\Omega)$ functions such that*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_{\Omega\setminus B_\rho(0)} |f_n - f|\, dx = 0\,, \qquad \forall \rho > 0\,. \tag{1.1}$$

Let u_n be the sequence of solutions of the following nonlinear elliptic problems

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_n + |u_n|^{q-1}\, u_n = f_n & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_n = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

with $q \geq \frac{N}{N-2}$. Then u_n converges to the unique solution u of the equation $-\Delta u + |u|^{q-1}\, u = f$.

If $f = 0$, an example of functions f_n satisfying condition (1.1) is that of a sequence of nonnegative $L^\infty(\Omega)$ functions converging in the weak* topology of measures to δ_0, the Dirac mass concentrated at the origin. In this case, u_n converges to zero, which is not a solution of the equation with δ_0 as datum. The result of Theorem 1.1 is strongly connected with a theorem by P. Bénilan and H. Brezis (see [1]), which states that the problem $-\Delta u + |u|^{q-1}\, u = \delta_0$ has no distributional solution if $q \geq \frac{N}{N-2}$. On the other hand (see [2] and [4]), if $q < \frac{N}{N-2}$, then there exists a unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u + |u|^{q-1}\, u = \delta_0, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The author was partially supported by the project *Análisis nolineal y ecuaciones diferenciales*, FQM 116, Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de Granada.

The *threshold* $\frac{N}{N-2}$ essentially depends on the linearity of the laplacian operator, and on the fact that the Dirac mass is a measure which is concentrated on a point: a set of zero elliptic N-capacity.

In [9] this result was improved to the nonlinear framework; there the authors actually proved that, if λ is a measure concentrated on a set of zero elliptic r-capacity, $r < q$, and q is large enough, then problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_p u + |u|^{q-1}\, u = \lambda, & \text{in } \ \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \ \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

has no solutions in a very *strong sense*; that is, if we approximate λ with smooth functions in the narrow topology of measures then the approximating solutions u_n converge to 0. In the same paper the result is proved for more general Leray-Lions type nonlinear operators (see [8]).

In this paper, we will combine an idea of [9] with a suitable parabolic *cut-off lemma* to prove a general nonexistence result in the framework of nonlinear parabolic problems with singular measures as data.

If Ω is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N > 2$, and $T > 0$ we denote by Q the parabolic cylinder $(0,T)\times\Omega$. If λ a bounded Radon measure on Q, then we will say that λ is concentrated on a Borel set B, and write $\lambda = \lambda_{\llcorner B}$, if $\lambda(E) = \lambda(B \cap E)$, for any measurable subset E of Q.

Our main result (see Theorem 2.3 below) states nonexistence of solutions for parabolic problems in the sense of approximating sequences; as a particular case of it we will obtain the following:

Theorem 1.2. *Let f_n be a sequence of functions in $L^\infty(Q)$ such that*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_Q \varphi\ f_n\ dx = \int_Q \varphi\ d\lambda, \quad \forall\ \varphi \in C(\overline{Q}),$$

where λ is a bounded Radon measure on Q concentrated on a set of zero parabolic r-capacity, and let

$$q > \frac{r}{r-2}\,. \tag{1.3}$$

Then the solutions of

$$\begin{cases} (u_n)_t - \Delta u_n + |u_n|^{q-1}u_n = f_n & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega \\ u_n(0,x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n(t,x) = 0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

are such that both u_n and $|\nabla u_n|$ converge to 0 in $L^1(Q)$.

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_Q |u_n|^{q-1}u_n\varphi\ dx = \int_Q \varphi\ d\lambda\,, \quad \forall\ \varphi \in C_0(Q).$$

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 states that in fact the sets of zero r-capacity are in some sense *removable singularities* for problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \Delta u + |u|^{q-1}u = f & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega \\ u(0,x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(t,x) = 0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

with large q, since the approximation does not see them. In fact, the singular measure λ turns out to be *cancelled out* by the zero order terms of the approximating problems in the weakly* sense of the measures.

Moreover, as we shall prove, the convergence is actually stronger than the one stated in Theorem 1.2.

Let us finally explicitly remark that the choice of the homogeneous initial datum is not restrictive; indeed, since the result is obtained for measures on Q which do not charge the set $\{0\}\times\Omega$ then our argument is, as we will see, essentially independent on the initial datum.

2. Basic assumptions and tools

Let $p>1$; we recall the notion of *parabolic p-capacity* associated to our problem (for further details see [12], [6]).

Definition 2.1. Let $Q=Q_T=(0,T)\times\Omega$ for any fixed $T>0$, and let us define $V=W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\cap L^2(\Omega)$, endowed with its natural norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)}+\|\cdot\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ and

$$W=\left\{u\in L^p(0,T;V),\ u_t\in L^{p'}(0,T;V')\right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

endowed with its natural norm $\|u\|_W=\|u\|_{L^p(0,T;V)}+\|u_t\|_{L^{p'}(0,T;V')}$. If $U\subseteq Q$ is an open set, we define the *parabolic p-capacity* of U as

$$\mathrm{cap}_p(U)=\inf\{\|u\|_W : u\in W, u\geq\chi_U \text{ a.e. in } Q\},$$

where as usual we set $\inf\emptyset=+\infty$; we then define for any Borel set $B\subseteq Q$

$$\mathrm{cap}_p(B)=\inf\{\mathrm{cap}_p(U), U \text{ open set of } Q, B\subseteq U\}.$$

Let us state our basic assumptions; let Ω be a bounded, open subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, T a positive number and $Q=(0,T)\times\Omega$. Let $a:(0,T)\times\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^N\to\mathbb{R}^N$ be a Carathéodory function (i.e., $a(\cdot,\cdot,\xi)$ is measurable on Q for every ξ in $\mathbb{R}^N$, and $a(t,x,\cdot)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^N$ for almost every (t,x) in Q), such that the following holds:

$$a(t,x,\xi)\cdot\xi\geq\alpha\,|\xi|^p\,,\quad p>1\,, \tag{2.2}$$

$$|a(t,x,\xi)|\leq\beta\,[b(t,x)+|\xi|^{p-1}]\,, \tag{2.3}$$

$$[a(t,x,\xi)-a(t,x,\eta)](\xi-\eta)>0\,, \tag{2.4}$$

for almost every (t,x) in Q, for every ξ, η in $\mathbb{R}^N$, with $\xi\neq\eta$, where α and β are two positive constants, and b is a nonnegative function in $L^{p'}(Q)$.

We define the differential operator

$$A(u)=-\mathrm{div}(a(t,x,\nabla u))\,,\qquad u\in L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))\,.$$

Under assumptions (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), A is a coercive and pseudomonotone operator acting from the space $L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega))$ into its dual $L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega))$.

We deal with problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t-\mathrm{div}(a(t,x,\nabla u))+|u|^{q-1}u=g+\lambda & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega\\ u(0,x)=0 & \text{in } \Omega,\\ u(t,x)=0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega, \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

with $g\in L^1(Q)$, $q>1$, a satisfying (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), and $\lambda=\lambda^+-\lambda^-$ is a bounded measure concentrated on a set $E=E^+\cup E^-$, such that $\mathrm{cap}_r(E)=0$.

Let us mention that existence of renormalized solutions (which in particular turn out to be distributional solutions for problem (2.5)) is one of the results proved in a forthcoming paper (see [11]) in the case of diffuse measures as data, that is measures which does not charge the sets of zero parabolic p-capacity.

Let us recall that a sequence of bounded measures λ_n on an open set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ narrowly converges to a measure λ if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_D \varphi \, d\lambda_n = \int_D \varphi \, d\lambda, \quad \forall \, \varphi \in C(\overline{D}).$$

We approximate the data with smooth g_n which converge to g in $L^1(Q)$ and smooth $f_n = f_n^{\oplus} - f_n^{\ominus}$, with $f_n^{\oplus}$ and $f_n^{\ominus}$ converging, respectively, to λ^+ and λ^- in the narrow topology of measures. We consider the solutions u_n of

$$\begin{cases} (u_n)_t - \operatorname{div}(a(t,x,\nabla u_n)) + |u_n|^{q-1}u_n = g_n + f_n & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega \\ u_n(0,x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_n(t,x) = 0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

Let us give the notion of entropy solution for parabolic problem (2.5) with a general $g \in L^1(\Omega)$, recalling that

$$S^p = \{u \in L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)); u_t \in L^{p'}(0,T;W^{-1,p'}(\Omega)) + L^1(Q)\},$$

that $T_k(s) = \max(-k, \min(k,s))$ for any $k > 0$, and that

$$\Theta_k(z) = \int_0^z T_k(s) \, ds,$$

is the primitive of the truncation function.

Definition 2.2. Let $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ and $\lambda = 0$. A measurable function u is an *entropy solution* of (2.5) if

$$T_k(u-g) \in L^p(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)) \text{ for every } k > 0, \tag{2.7}$$

$$t \in [0,T] \mapsto \int_\Omega \Theta_k(u-g-\varphi)(t,x) \, dx \tag{2.8}$$

is a continuous function for all $k \geq 0$ and all $\varphi \in S^p \cap L^\infty(Q)$, and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_\Omega \Theta_k(u-g-\varphi)(T,x) \, dx - \int_\Omega \Theta_k(u-g-\varphi)(0,x) \, dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, T_k(u-g-\varphi)\rangle \, dt \\ &+ \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla T_k(u-g-\varphi) \, dxdt \\ &\leq \int_Q g T_k(u-g-\varphi) \, dxdt, \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

for all $k \geq 0$ and all $\varphi \in S^p \cap L^\infty(Q)$.

Recall that, thanks to a result of [7], the unique entropy solution of problem (2.5) (with $\lambda = 0$) turns out to coincide with the renormalized solution of the same problem as introduced in [13] (see also [6] and [10]).

As we said, our main result concerns the nonexistence of solutions for problem (2.5) in the sense of approximating sequences; let us state it.

Theorem 2.3. *Let $1 < p < r$, and*

$$q > \frac{r(p-1)}{r-p}, \tag{2.10}$$

and let u_n be the unique solution of problem (2.6). Then $|\nabla u_n|^{p-1}$ converges strongly to $|\nabla u|^{p-1}$ in $L^\sigma(Q)$ with $\sigma < \frac{pq}{(q+1)(p-1)}$, where u is the unique entropy (renormalized) solution of problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t - \operatorname{div}(a(t,x,\nabla u)) + |u|^{q-1}u = g & \text{in } (0,T)\times\Omega \\ u(0,x) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u(t,x) = 0 & \text{on } (0,T)\times\partial\Omega. \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Moreover,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_Q |u_n|^{q-1}u_n\varphi \, dx = \int_Q |u|^{q-1}u\varphi \, dx + \int_Q \varphi \, d\lambda, \quad \forall\ \varphi \in C_0(Q). \tag{2.12}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 2.3

In this section we prove Theorem 2.3. From here on ω will indicate any quantity that vanishes as the parameters in its argument go to their (obvious, if not explicitly stressed) limit point with the same order in which they appear, that is, as an example

$$\lim_{\delta\to 0^+} \limsup_{m\to+\infty} \limsup_{n\to\infty} |\omega(n,m,\delta)| = 0.$$

Moreover, for the sake of simplicity, in what follows, the convergences, even if not explicitly stressed, may be understood to be taken possibly up to a suitable subsequence extraction.

To prove Theorem 2.3 we will use the following Lemma proved in [10].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mu = \lambda_s^+ - \lambda_s^-$ be a bounded Radon measure on Q, where λ_s^+ and λ_s^- are nonnegative and concentrated, respectively, on two disjoint sets E^+ and E^- of zero r-capacity. Then, for every $\delta > 0$, there exist two compact sets $K_\delta^+ \subseteq E^+$ and $K_\delta^- \subseteq E^-$ such that*

$$\lambda_s^+(E^+\backslash K_\delta^+) \le \delta, \qquad \lambda_s^-(E^-\backslash K_\delta^-) \le \delta, \tag{3.1}$$

and there exist $\psi_\delta^+,\ \psi_\delta^- \in C_0^1(Q)$, such that

$$\psi_\delta^+,\ \psi_\delta^- \equiv 1 \text{ respectively on } K_\delta^+,\ K_\delta^-, \tag{3.2}$$

$$0 \le \psi_\delta^+,\ \psi_\delta^- \le 1, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(\psi_\delta^+) \cap \operatorname{supp}(\psi_\delta^-) \equiv \emptyset. \tag{3.4}$$

Moreover

$$\|\psi_\delta^+\|_{S^r} \le \delta, \quad \|\psi_\delta^-\|_{S^r} \le \delta, \tag{3.5}$$

and, in particular, there exists a decomposition of $(\psi_\delta^+)_t$ and a decomposition of $(\psi_\delta^-)_t$ such that

$$\|(\psi_\delta^+)_t^1\|_{L^{r'}(0,T;W^{-1,r'}(\Omega))} \le \delta, \quad \|(\psi_\delta^+)_t^2\|_{L^1(Q)} \le \delta, \tag{3.6}$$

$$\|(\psi_\delta^-)_t^1\|_{L^{r'}(0,T;W^{-1,r'}(\Omega))} \le \delta, \quad \|(\psi_\delta^-)_t^2\|_{L^1(Q)} \le \delta, \tag{3.7}$$

and both ψ_δ^+ and ψ_δ^- converge to zero weakly in $L^\infty(Q)$, in $L^1(Q)$, and, up to subsequences, almost everywhere as δ vanishes.*

Moreover, if $f_n = f_n^\oplus - f_n^\ominus$ is as in (2.6), we have

$$\int_Q \psi_\delta^- f_n^\oplus = \omega(n,\delta), \qquad \int_Q \psi_\delta^- \ d\lambda_s^+ \leq \delta, \tag{3.8}$$

$$\int_Q \psi_\delta^+ f_n^\ominus = \omega(n,\delta), \qquad \int_Q \psi_\delta^+ \ d\lambda_s^- \leq \delta, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\int_Q (1-\psi_\delta^+) f_n^\oplus = \omega(n,\delta), \qquad \int_Q (1-\psi_\delta^+) \ d\lambda_s^+ \leq \delta, \tag{3.10}$$

$$\int_Q (1-\psi_\delta^-) f_n^\ominus = \omega(n,\delta), \qquad \int_Q (1-\psi_\delta^-) \ d\lambda_s^- \leq \delta. \tag{3.11}$$

For the convenience of the reader we will split the proof of Theorem 2.3 in three steps. In the first one we prove some basic estimates on the approximating solutions, while the second step is devoted to check how the zero order term behaves far from the support of λ; finally, in the third step we conclude the proof by showing that the limit function u is an entropy solution of problem (2.11) and (2.12) holds true.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. **Step 1.** Basic estimates.

Taking $T_k(u_n)$ as test function in the weak formulation of (2.6), we readily have the following estimates on the approximating solutions:

$$\int_Q |\nabla T_k(u_n)|^p \leq Ck, \tag{3.12}$$

$$\sup_t \int_\Omega |u_n| \leq C \tag{3.13}$$

and moreover, since,

$$k \int_{\{|u_n|\geq k\}} |u_n|^q \leq \int_Q |u_n|^q |T_k(u_n)| \leq Ck,$$

so that

$$k^q \text{meas}\,\{|u_n| \geq k\} \leq C,$$

and

$$\int_{\{|u_n|<k\}} |u_n|^q \leq Ck^q,$$

we have

$$|u_n|^q \ \text{ is bounded in } \ L^1(Q).$$

Because of this fact, using (3.12), one can prove, reasoning as in [3],

$$|\nabla u_n|^{p-1} \text{ is bounded in } L^\rho(Q), \quad \text{for any } \ \rho < \frac{pq}{(q+1)(p-1)}.$$

Moreover u_n (up to subsequences) converges almost everywhere to a function u, and, looking at the equation in (2.6), we have that

$$(u_n)_t - \text{div}(a(t,x,\nabla u_n))$$

is bounded in $L^1(Q)$ and so by Theorem 3.3 of [3] we have that

$$\nabla u_n \longrightarrow \nabla u \ \text{ a.e. on } Q.$$

Therefore, thanks to the growth condition on a, we have that both

$$|\nabla u_n|^{p-1} \longrightarrow |\nabla u|^{p-1} \ \text{ strongly in } (L^\rho(Q))^N \tag{3.14}$$

and

$$a(t,x,\nabla u_n) \longrightarrow a(t,x,\nabla u) \ \text{ strongly in } (L^\rho(Q))^N \tag{3.15}$$

for every $\rho < \frac{pq}{(q+1)(p-1)}$.

Step 2. Energy estimates.

Let $\Psi_\delta = \psi_\delta^+ + \psi_\delta^-$, as in Lemma 3.1; let us mention that the use of these type of cut-off functions to deal with, separately, the regular and the singular part of the data was first introduced in [5] in the elliptic framework.

Then, we want to show that

$$\int_{\{u_n > 2m\}} |u_n|^q (1-\Psi_\delta)\, dx = \omega(n,m,\delta), \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\int_{\{u_n < -2m\}} |u_n|^q (1-\Psi_\delta)\, dx = \omega(n,m,\delta). \tag{3.17}$$

We will prove (3.16) (the proof of (3.17) is analogous). Let us define

$$\beta_m(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ s > 2m, \\ \dfrac{s}{m} - 1 & \text{if } \ m < s \le 2m, \\ 0 & \text{if } \ s \le m. \end{cases} \tag{3.18}$$

and let us take $\beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta)$ as test function in (2.6); we obtain

$$\int_0^T \langle (u_n)_t, \beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta)\rangle\, dt \tag{A}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{m} \int_{\{m < u_n \le 2m\}} a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot \nabla u_n (1-\Psi_\delta) \tag{B}$$

$$- \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot \nabla \Psi_\delta \beta_m(u_n) \tag{C}$$

$$+ \int_Q |u_n|^{q-1} u_n \beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta) \tag{D}$$

$$= \int_Q f_n^{\oplus} \beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta) \tag{E}$$

$$- \int_Q f_n^{\ominus} \beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta) \tag{F}$$

$$+ \int_Q g_n \beta_m(u_n)(1-\Psi_\delta). \tag{G}$$

Let us analyze all terms one by one. Using (3.15) and assumption (2.10), by means of Egorov Theorem we readily have

$$-(\mathrm{C}) = \omega(n,m),$$

and, again by Egorov Theorem we get

$$(\mathrm{G}) = \omega(n,m).$$

On the other hand, thanks to Lemma 3.1, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{E}) &\leq \int_Q f_n^{\oplus}(1-\Psi_\delta)\ dx = \int_Q f_n^{\oplus}(1-\psi_\delta^+)\ dx + \int_Q f_n^{\oplus}\psi_\delta^-\ dx \\ &= \int_Q (1-\psi_\delta^+)\ d\lambda^+ + \int_Q \psi_\delta^-\ d\lambda^- + \omega(n) = \omega(n,\delta).\end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we can drop both (B) and $-$(F) since they are nonnegative, while, if B_m is the primitive function of β_m, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{A}) &= \int_Q B_m(u_n)_t(1-\Psi_\delta) \\ &= \int_Q B_m(u_n)(\Psi_\delta)_t + \int_\Omega B_m(u_n)(T) \geq \omega(n,m).\end{aligned}$$

Collecting together all these results we obtain (3.16).

Step 3. Passing to the limit.

Here, for technical reasons, we use of the double cut-off function $\Psi_{\delta,\eta} = \psi_\delta^+\psi_\eta^+ + \psi_\delta^-\psi_\eta^-$ where $\psi_\delta^+, \psi_\delta^-, \psi_\eta^+, \psi_\eta^-$ are the functions constructed in Lemma 3.1; the same trick has been also used in [10] (see also [5]).

Let us define

$$h_m(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |s| > 2m, \\ 2 - \dfrac{|s|}{m}, & \text{if } m < |s| \leq 2m, \\ 1 & \text{if } |s| \leq m. \end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

We take $T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n)$ in the weak formulation of (2.6), and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \langle (u_n)_t, T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n)\rangle\ dt_t && (\mathrm{A})\\
&\quad + \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{B})\\
&\quad - \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla\Psi_{\delta,\eta}T_k(u_n-\varphi)h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{C})\\
&\quad + \int_Q |u_n|^{q-1}u_n T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{D})\\
&= \int_Q f_n^{\oplus}T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{E})\\
&\quad - \int_Q f_n^{\ominus}T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{F})\\
&\quad + \int_Q g_n T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n) && (\mathrm{G})\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{m}\int_{\{m<u_n\leq 2m\}} a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})T_k(u_n-\varphi) && (\mathrm{H})\\
&\quad + \frac{1}{m}\int_{\{-2m\leq u_n<-m\}} a(t,x,\nabla u_n)\cdot\nabla u_n(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})\,T_k(u_n-\varphi)\,. && (\mathrm{I})
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 3.1 and (3.15) we have (C)$= \omega(n,\eta)$, while

$$|(\mathrm{E})| + |(\mathrm{F})| \le k \int_Q (f_n^{\oplus} + f_n^{\ominus})(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta})\ dx = \omega(n,\eta),$$

and easily

$$(\mathrm{G}) = \int_Q g T_k(u - \varphi)\ dx + \omega(n,\eta).$$

On the other hand, using Lemma 6 of [10] we deduce that $|(\mathrm{H})| + |(\mathrm{I})| = \omega(n,m,\eta)$.

Now let us look at (D):

$$(\mathrm{D}) = \int_{\{-2m \le u_n \le 2m\}} |u_n|^{q-1} u_n T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n)$$

$$+ \int_{\{u_n > 2m\}} u_n^q T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n)$$

$$+ \int_{\{u_n < -2m\}} |u_n|^q T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n).$$

Using (3.16) and (3.17) we have that the last two terms in the right hand side are $\omega(n,m,\eta)$, while

$$\int_{\{-2m \le u_n \le 2m\}} |u_n|^{q-1} u_n\ T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n)$$

$$= \int_{\{-2m \le u \le 2m\}} |u|^{q-1} u\ T_k(u - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n) + \omega(n)$$

$$= \int_Q |u|^{q-1} u\ T_k(u - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) + \omega(n,m)$$

$$= \int_Q |u|^{q-1} u\ T_k(u - \varphi) + \omega(n,m,\eta).$$

So that

$$(\mathrm{D}) = \int_Q |u|^{q-1} u\ T_k(u - \varphi) + \omega(n,m,\eta).$$

Moreover,

$$(\mathrm{B}) = \int_Q [a(t,x,\nabla u_n) - a(t,x,\nabla\varphi)] \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n)$$

$$+ \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla\varphi) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n),$$

and

$$\int_Q a(t,x,\nabla\varphi) \cdot \nabla T_k(u_n - \varphi)(1 - \Psi_{\delta,\eta}) h_m(u_n)$$

$$= \int_Q a(t,x,\nabla\varphi) \cdot \nabla T_k(u - \varphi) + \omega(n,m,\eta),$$

while the first term can be handled by Fatou's lemma finally obtaining

$$\int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla T_k(u-\varphi)\le \liminf_{\eta\to 0^+}\liminf_{m\to\infty}\liminf_{n\to\infty}\ \text{(B)}.$$

We now deal with (A). Let us define $\Theta_{k,m}(s)$ as the primitive function of $T_k(s)h_m(s)$, observe that $\Theta_{k,m}$ is a bounded function; so that thanks to Lemma 3.1, for any $\eta>0$ there exists δ small enough such that

$$\left|\int_Q \Theta_{k,m}(u_n-\varphi)h_m(u_n)(\Psi_\delta)_t\right| = \int_Q \Theta_k(u-\varphi)|(\Psi_\delta)_t| + \omega(n)$$

$$\le \eta+\omega(n)=\omega(n,\eta),$$

and so finally

$$\text{(A)} = \int_0^T \langle (u_n-\varphi)_t, T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n)\rangle\ dt$$

$$+\int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n)\rangle\ dt$$

$$=\int_\Omega \Theta_{k,m}(u_n-\varphi)(T)-\int_\Omega \Theta_{k,m}(-\varphi)(0)+\int_Q \Theta_{k,m}(u_n-\varphi)(\Psi_\delta)_t$$

$$+\int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, T_k(u_n-\varphi)(1-\Psi_{\delta,\eta})h_m(u_n)\rangle\ dt \ge \int_\Omega \Theta_k(u-\varphi)(T)$$

$$-\int_\Omega \Theta_k(-\varphi)(0)+\int_0^T \langle \varphi_t, T_k(u-\varphi)\rangle\ dt+\omega(n,m,\eta),$$

where in the last passage we used the fact that $r>p$ and Fatou's lemma which can be applied for almost every $0\le T'\le T$. Passing to the limit and gathering together all these facts we can conclude that u is an entropy solution of (2.11). Actually we proved this fact for almost every $0\le T'\le T$ but thanks to uniqueness of the entropy solution one can easily show that u is the entropy solution for any $T>0$.

To prove (2.12) take $\psi\in C_0^\infty(Q)$ in (2.6) to obtain

$$\int_Q |u_n|^{q-1}u_n\psi = -\int_Q a(t,x,\nabla u)\cdot\nabla\psi+\int_Q g\psi+\int_Q \psi\ d\lambda+\omega(n),$$

which together with the fact that u is an entropy solution of problem (2.11) (and so a distributional one) yields (2.12) for ψ smooth. Finally, an easy density argument allows us to conclude the proof. □

References

[1] P. Bénilan, H. Brezis, Nonlinear problems related to the Thomas-Fermi equation. Dedicated to Philippe Bénilan, J. Evol. Equ. **3** (2003), no. 4, 673–770.

[2] P. Bénilan, H. Brezis, M. Crandall, A semilinear elliptic equation in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, *Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, **2** (1975), 523–555.

[3] L. Boccardo, A. Dall'Aglio, T. Gallouët, L. Orsina, Nonlinear parabolic equations with measure data, J. Funct. Anal. , **147** (1997) no.1 , 237–258.

[4] H. Brezis, Nonlinear elliptic equations involving measures, in *Contributions to nonlinear partial differential equations (Madrid, 1981)*, 82–89, Res. Notes in Math., **89**, Pitman, Boston, Mass.-London, 1983.
[5] G. Dal Maso, F. Murat, L. Orsina, A. Prignet, Renormalized solutions of elliptic equations with general measure data, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci., **28** (1999), 741–808.
[6] J. Droniou, A. Porretta, A. Prignet, Parabolic capacity and soft measures for nonlinear equations, Potential Anal. **19** (2003), no. 2, 99–161.
[7] J. Droniou, A. Prignet, Equivalence between entropy and renormalized solutions for parabolic equations with smooth measure data. NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl. **14**, 181–205 (2007)
[8] J. Leray, J. L. Lions, Quelques résultats de Višik sur les problémes elliptiques semi-linéaires par les méthodes de Minty et Browder, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **93** (1965), 97–107.
[9] L. Orsina, A. Prignet, Non-existence of solutions for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving measures. Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 1**30** (2000), no. 1, 167–187.
[10] F. Petitta, Renormalized solutions of nonlinear parabolic equations with general measure data, in press on Ann. Mat. Pura e Appl, **187** (4) (2008), 563–604.
[11] F. Petitta, A. Ponce and A. Porretta, *Diffuse measures and nonlinear parabolic equations*, J. Evol. Equations, **11** (4) (2011), 861–905.
[12] M. Pierre, Parabolic capacity and Sobolev spaces, Siam J. Math. Anal., **14** (1983), 522–533.
[13] A. Prignet, Existence and uniqueness of entropy solutions of parabolic problems with L^1 data, Nonlin. Anal. TMA **28** (1997), 1943–1954.

(F. Petitta) Dipartimento di Scienze di Base e Applicate per l' Ingegneria, "Sapienza", Università di Roma, Via Scarpa 16, 00161 Roma, Italy.
E-mail address: francesco.petitta@sbai.uniroma1.it